Budget Proposals 2016/17: Summary of Recommendations as result of feedback on the impact of budget proposals – for Executive and Council meetings to be held on 24 March 2016

Savings Proposals:

Service area	Proposal	Total Budget 2015/16	Saving Phase 1 2016/17	Proposed saving: Phase 2 2016/17	Total savings: Phase 1 & 2	% saved over both phases	Recommendation
RES	All2gether	£10,000	£0	£5,000	£5,000	50%	Feedback from the consultation p which would prevent the council f has also not generated any viable proposal. It is therefore recommended tha
RES	Calcot Service Point, Sainsburys	£53,500	£0	£20,000	£20,000	37%	Feedback from the consultation p which would prevent the council f has also not generated any viable proposal. It is therefore recommended tha
СОММ	Children's Centres	£1,226,000	£300,000	£150,000	£450,000	37%	Feedback from the consultation p which would prevent the council f has also not generated any viable proposal. However, in order to enable com suggested that transition funding It is therefore recommended tha
RES	Citizen's Advice Bureau (CAB)	£219,892	£15,000	£25,000	£40,000	18%	transitional funding of £50,000Feedback from the consultation p which would prevent the council f has also not generated any viable proposal.However, given the importance o transition to a new financial mode considered for this proposal.It is therefore recommended that transitional funding of £25,000
RES	Community Council for Berkshire (CCB)	£6,800	£0	£3,400	£3,400	50%	Feedback from the consultation p which would prevent the council t has also not generated any viable proposal. It is therefore recommended tha
RES	Community Furniture Project (CFP)	£11,246	£0	£5,623	£5,623	50%	Feedback from the consultation p which would prevent the council f has also not generated any viable proposal. It is therefore recommended tha

process has not resulted in any issue being raised from proceeding with the proposal. The feedback ble counter-proposal which would mitigate the

nat the proposal be progressed.

process has not resulted in any issue being raised from proceeding with the proposal. The feedback ble counter-proposal which would mitigate the

nat the proposal be progressed.

process has not resulted in any issue being raised from proceeding with the proposal. The feedback ble counter-proposal which would mitigate the

mmunity access points to be developed, it is g should be considered for this proposal.

nat the proposal be progressed but that 0 is made available in 2016/17.

process has not resulted in any issue being raised from proceeding with the proposal. The feedback ble counter-proposal which would mitigate the

of the work of CAB and in order to enable it to del it is considered that transitional funding should be

nat the proposal be progressed but that 0 is made available in 2016/17.

process has not resulted in any issue being raised from proceeding with the proposal. The feedback ole counter-proposal which would mitigate the

nat the proposal be progressed.

process has not resulted in any issue being raised from proceeding with the proposal. The feedback ble counter-proposal which would mitigate the

nat the proposal be progressed.

Budget Proposals 2016/17: Summary of Recommendations as result of feedback on the impact of budget proposals – for Executive and Council meetings to be held on 24 March 2016

Service area	Proposal	Total Budget 2015/16	Saving Phase 1 2016/17	Proposed saving: Phase 2 2016/17	Total savings: Phase 1 & 2	% saved over both phases	Recommendation
СОММ	Domestic Abuse Response Team (DART)	£138,590	£0	£33,000	£33,000	24%	Feedback from the consultation p which would prevent the council thas also not generated any viable proposal.
							However, in order to enable the opposed that transitional funding
							It is therefore recommended tha transitional funding of £25,000
ENV	Library Service	£1,525,000	£90,000	£600,000	£690,000	45%	Based on feedback from the cons be retained on a self service mod Theale) and the retention of one
							It is recommended that Wash C mobile library is reduced to on £475,000 is made available in 2
ENV	Public Transport	£1,463,090	£320,000	£495,000	£815,000	56%	Based on feedback from the cons changes to the original proposals 105 and their replacement with tw introduce a number of minibuses the replacement of some rural pa changes to the Readibus service.
							It is recommended that the pro be noted and the savings prog is made available in 2016/17.
ENV	Smallmead Household Waste Recycling centre, Island Road	£299,190	£0	£97,000	£97,000	32%	Feedback from the consultation p which would prevent the council f has also not generated any viable proposal.
							However it is unlikely that the arra therefore the savings will be pro r
							It is therefore recommended that
RES	Substance Misuse Support Service	£911,993	£0	£71,000	£71,000	8%	Feedback from the consultation p which would prevent the council f has also not generated any viable proposal. It is therefore recommended tha
ENV	Theatres	£341,400	£0	£150,000	£150,000	44%	The Corn Exchange has submitter reduction in 16/17, £92,000 in 17,
							year saving of £274,000 a different It is recommended that the pro funding of £56,000 is made ava

process has not resulted in any issue being raised from proceeding with the proposal. The feedback ble counter-proposal which would mitigate the

e domestic abuse service to be transformed it is ng should be considered for this proposal.

nat the proposal be progressed but that 0 is made available in 2016/17.

nsultation, it is proposed that seven branch libraries odel basis and the closure of two (Wash Common and e mobile.

Common and Theale libraries are closed, the one vehicle and that transitional funding of 2016/17.

nsultation, it is proposed to make a number of Is including changes to services 101/102/104 and two new services 11/12. It is also proposed to es to replace service 90 (Lambourn to Swindon) and parts of services 90 and 143. There will also be e.

roposed changes to the public transport services gressed but that transitional funding of £337,000

process has not resulted in any issue being raised from proceeding with the proposal. The feedback ble counter-proposal which would mitigate the

rrangement will be stopped from the 1 April 2016, rata.

nat the proposal be progressed.

process has not resulted in any issue being raised from proceeding with the proposal. The feedback ble counter-proposal which would mitigate the

nat the proposal be progressed.

tted a counter proposal which is for a £80,000 7/18 and £102,000 saving in 18/19, giving a total 3 rence of £134,000 against the total WBC saving.

roposal be progressed but that transitional vailable in 2016/17.

Budget Proposals 2016/17: Summary of Recommendations as result of feedback on the impact of budget proposals – for Executive and Council meetings to be held on 24 March 2016

Service area	Proposal	Total Budget 2015/16	Saving Phase 1 2016/17	Proposed saving: Phase 2 2016/17	Total savings: Phase 1 & 2	% saved over both phases	Recommendation
ENV	Trading Standards, Environmental Health and Licensing	£1,500,000	£0	£50,000	£50,000	3%	Feedback from the consultation p which would prevent the council f has also not generated any viable proposal. It is therefore recommended tha
ENV	West Berkshire Museum	£183,000	£O	£40,000	£40,000	22%	Feedback from the consultation p which would prevent the council f has also not generated any viable proposal. It is therefore recommended tha
RES	West Berkshire Neighbourhood Wardens	£208,000	£O	£208,000	£208,000	100%	Feedback from the consultation p which would prevent the council f has also not generated any viable proposal.
							However, having met with all of the suggested that transition funding may be forthcoming.
							It is therefore recommended tha transitional funding of £50,000

Income Proposal:

Service area	Proposal	Total Budget 2015/16	Initial proposed income: Phase 1 2016/17	Initial proposed income: Phase 2 2016/17	Total income: Phase 1 & 2	Recommendation
ENV	Car Parks	n/a	£391,000	£250,000	£641,000	Feedback from the consultation process has not re would prevent the council from proceeding with the generated any viable counter-proposal which wou It is therefore recommended that the proposal b

process has not resulted in any issue being raised from proceeding with the proposal. The feedback ble counter-proposal which would mitigate the

nat the proposal be progressed.

process has not resulted in any issue being raised from proceeding with the proposal. The feedback ble counter-proposal which would mitigate the

nat the proposal be progressed.

process has not resulted in any issue being raised from proceeding with the proposal. The feedback ole counter-proposal which would mitigate the

the appropriate Parish and Town Councils, it is g be allocated to pump prime any proposals which

nat the proposal be progressed but that 0 is made available in 2016/17.

resulted in any issue being raised which the proposal. The feedback has also not ould mitigate the proposal.

be progressed.